1 2	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney
3 4	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
5 6 7 8	BENJAMIN P. TOLKOFF (NYB 4294443) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7296 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Benjamin.Tolkoff@usdoj.gov
10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiff
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
15	
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 10-0259 MHP
17	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EVOLUTION TIME INDEP 18 ILS C. \$ 2161
18	v.) EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161
19 20	MALACHI BARTON,
21	Defendant.)
22)
23 24 25 26 27 28	On April 13, 2010, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for identification of counsel and scheduling of a detention hearing. Mr. Paul De Meester was appointed counsel and the matter was set for April 16, 2010, for a detention hearing. The parties requested and the court ordered that time between April 13 and April 16, 2010 be excluded under the speedy trial act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, to afford adequate preparation of counsel and assure continuity of counsel.
	STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCL. TIME

//

On April 16, 2010, the parties appeared before the Court for arraignment and a detention hearing. The defendant entered a plea of not guilty and waived a detention hearing and findings without prejudice, reserving the right to seek release if circumstances changed. The matter was scheduled for appearance before the Honorable Marilyn H. Patel on April 19, 2010. The parties requested and the court ordered that time between April 16 and April 19, 2010 be excluded under the speedy trial act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, to afford adequate preparation of counsel and assure continuity of counsel. The parties agree that, taking into account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases, good cause exists for this extension.

The defendant also agrees to exclude for this period of time any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the continuance was the reasonable time necessary for continuity of defense counsel and effective preparation. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

SO STIPULATED:

DATED: April 16, 2010

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney

BENJAMIN P. TOLKOFF Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: April 16, 2010 _______

PAUL DE MEESTER

Attorney for MALACHI BARTON

STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCL. TIME US v. BARTON; CR 10-0259 MHP

Case 3:10-cr-00259-RS Document 7 Filed 04/19/10 Page 3 of 4

Case 3:10-cr-00259-RS Document 7 Filed 04/19/10 Page 4 of 4

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the exclusion of time from April 13 through April 19, 2010, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). SO ORDERED. DATED:_ April 19, 2010 HONORABLE KEKNARD ZIMMERMAN United States Magistrate Judge